1.

SINGLE BENCH

3

माननीय उच्च न्यायालय छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर(छ.ग.)

W.P. No. 5864 / 2005

मनोहर सिंह नाग वल्द स्व. देवनाथ सिंह नाग
उम्र 38 वर्ष निवासी ग्राम फरसगांव
जिला बस्तर (छ.ग.) .. .. **याचिकाकर्ता**

''विरूद्ध''

छत्तीसगढ़ शासन द्वारा

1. प्रमुख सचिव छ.ग.शासन
   सामान्य प्रशासन विभाग मंत्रालय
   दाऊ कल्याण सिंह भवन रायपुर
2. विशेष सचिव
   छ.ग.शासन वन विभाग मंत्रालय
   डी.के.एस.भवन रायपुर (छ.ग.)
3. प्रधान मुख्य वन संरक्षक
   छ.ग.रायपुर
4. वन मण्डलाधिकारी
   वन मंडल कोण्डागांव
   जिला बस्तर (छ.ग.) .. .. **उत्तरवादीगण**

P.R. No. 6002/05
Presented by Shri T.A. Khan
dated 21/11/05

BILASPUR 21.11.05

प्रादेश/रीट याचिका अंतर्गत अनुच्छेद 226 सहपठीत अनुच्छेद 227 भारतीय, संविधान।

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. → 5864/05 सन् 200

22

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

9-12-2005

Shri Abdul Wahab Khan, counsel for the petitioner.
Shri Satish Gupta, Dy. Govt. Advocate for the State.
Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that earlier the petitioner has been transferred from Kondagaon Forest Division to Bhanupratappur Forest Division vide order dated 17-11-2005 (Annexure-P/1). He further submits that during the ban period the petitioner has been transferred that too without coordination and prior approval of the Chief Minister. He also submits that on being transferred the petitioner, the education of his children would be adversely affected. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that for the present ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the respondent authorities to decide the representation of the petitioner.

The prayer is not opposed by the State counsel.

Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case the petitioner is directed to make a detailed representation raising his grievances before the competent authority of respondents alongwith a copy of the petition and this order within a period of 15 days from today and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of the respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of representation. It is made clear that if any grievance still remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that till date the petitioner has not been relieved. He prays for grant of interim relief.

In view of the above, it is directed that if the petitioner is not relieved he may be allowed to continue till the representation is decided.

With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M.(W.).P.No.4658/2005 & I.A.No.9778/05 also stand disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge